

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BILASPUR

W.P. No 22401/2001

PETITIONER:

Nagesar Bai, aged about 38 years
Upsarpanch, Village Sardha
Block- Lorami, Distt- Bilaspur
(C.G.)

VERSUS

RESPONDENTS:

1. State of Chhattisgarh
Through Secretary Home, General
Administration Dept. D.K. Bhawan, Raipur,
(C.G).
2. Collector, Bilaspur.
3. S.D.O. Lorami.
4. Tahsildar, Lorami.
5. Block Development Officer, Lorami.
6. Sub-Engineer, PWD Lorami.
7. Sarpanch, Ram Kumar Keshwari, Gram
Panchayat Sardha, Lormi.

P. R. No. 20301/97
Presented by Shri P. K. Shrivastava
dated 1-7-10-01

**WRIT PETITION UNDER ARTICLE 226/227 OF THE
CONSTITUTION OF INDIA**

आदेश पत्रक

मामला क्रमांक W.P.No. 2240 of 2001

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	02-07-2002 Petitioner by Shri V.C. Ottalwar, Advocate. State by Shri Ranbir Singh, Govt. Advocate. Heard. The petitioner is Up-sarpanch of village - Sardha, Block - Lormi, District Bilaspur. It appears that she had submitted a written complaint to the Collector, Bilaspur on 25-06-2000 that the funds of the Gram Panchayat was being embezzled by Sarpanch Shri Ram Kumar Kesharwani, who is impleaded as respondent No.7. The case of the petitioner is that, thereafter the officers have unnecessarily harassed her and they are constantly asking her to attend the office for the purpose of investigation. According to the petitioner since she made a complaint that the funds were being embezzled she had brought the fact to the notice of the authority and it is for the authority concerned to make necessary enquiry into the complaint. Accordingly, the prayer in this writ petition is ~~that~~ to direct the respondent No.3 to complete the investigation to his own, and not to harass the petitioner as her statements have already been recorded.	

[फोटो देखिये]

आदेश पत्रक

W.P. मामला क्रमांक 22/10 सन् 200/

विरुद्ध

आदेश का दिनांक आदेश क्रमांक सहित	आदेश हस्ताक्षर सहित - 2 -	कार्यालयीन मामलों में डिप्टी रजिस्ट्रार के अन्तिम आदेश
	In view of the averments made, no further action is called for in this writ petition except to observe that the authorities should enquire into the matter and not to unnecessarily call upon the petitioner to appear before it on every date. Of course if it is necessary the petitioner may be called upon to make a further statement. With the above observations, this petition stands disposed of. Certified copy as per rules.	Sd/- P.C.Naik Judge

Thakur

[पेज देखें]

31.10.